

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,582	12/28/2005	Shouzi Yamazaki	SHM-16348	8547
40854 RANKIN HII	7590 05/30/2007 L, PORTER & CLARK LI	EXAMINER		
4080 ERIE STREET			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7836			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/562,582	YAMAZAKI, SHOUZI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alian Kuhns	1732				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress			
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	arch 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-14</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	•					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		n received in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
				•			
Attachmen			•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/562,582

Art Unit: 1732

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2.Claims 2-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coon et al. (7,169,344) in view of Wycech (6,406,078). Coon et al. disclose or suggest the basic claimed method of manufacturing a skeleton structure member made by disposing a solidified granular bulk material obtained by bonding together multiple granules inside a skeleton member of a transport machine including (1) placing granules, prepacked into a bag or vessel, into the skeleton member, and (2) causing the the granules to expand. Note the disclosure of a bag-like structure at column 6, line 8. the disclosure that the reinforcing material 14 may be expandable at column 6, line 34, and the illustration of reinforcing material in granular form in Figures 2 and 3. Heating is well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to cause expansion. Coon et al. appear not to teach or suggest the aspect making granules by wrapping a core substance of a liquid or a solid with a film, but such is taught or suggested by Wycech, also in a method of manufacturing a filled skeleton structure member of a transport macjhine, by disclosing at column 5, lines 4-46 that thermosetting or thermoplastic microspheres containing a physical or chemical blowing agent may be expanded. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use this form of granules taught by Wycech in the method of Coon et al. since Wycech

Application/Control Number: 10/562,582

Art Unit: 1732

teaches at column 5, lines 15-18 that such granules provide appropriate reinforcing means.

The granules or microspheres of Wycech form a core and expand to form hollow granules (column 5, line 16), as in claim 3, and Wycech teaches the use of microspheres having a diameter within the range of claim 4 at column 5, line 23.

Appropriate heating temperatures, as in claim 5, would have been readily determined through routine experimentation by one of ordinary skill in the art as part of process optimization, and it is well known to use the heating during paint drying, as in claim 6, and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to enhance process energy efficiency. Based on the disclosure of the prior art relied upon, it is submitted that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the method to any of the portions of skeleton structure denoted in claims 7-14 in order to providing those skeleton structures with reinforcement.

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed March 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are considered to be moot by the examiner based on the revised ground of rejection introduced in this Office action.
- 4.Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/562,582 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

5-16-07